

Role of solvent in enhancing the production of butyl levulinate from fructose

Daniele Di Menno Di Bucchianico, Jean-Christophe Buvat, Mélanie Mignot,

Valeria Casson Moreno, Sébastien Leveneur

▶ To cite this version:

Daniele Di Menno Di Bucchianico, Jean-Christophe Buvat, Mélanie Mignot, Valeria Casson Moreno, Sébastien Leveneur. Role of solvent in enhancing the production of butyl levulinate from fructose. Fuel, 2022, 318, pp.123703. 10.1016/j.fuel.2022.123703. hal-03598350

HAL Id: hal-03598350

https://hal-normandie-univ.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03598350

Submitted on 4 Mar 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Role of solvent the production of butyl levulinate from fructose 1 2 Daniele Di Menno Di Bucchianico^{1,2}, Jean-Christophe Buvat¹, Mélanie Mignot³, Valeria Casson 3 Moreno² and Sébastien Leveneur^{1,*} 4 ¹Normandie Univ, INSA Rouen, UNIROUEN, LSPC, EA4704, 76000 Rouen, France ; 5 ²Dipartimento di Ingegneria Chimica, Civile, Ambientale e dei Materiali, Alma Mater Studiorum— 6 Università di Bologna, via Terracini 28, 40131 Bologna, Italy; 7 ³COBRA UMR CNRS 6014, Normandie Université, INSA de Rouen, avenue de l'Université, Saint-8 Etienne-du-Rouvray, 76800, France 9 *corresponding author: sebastien.leveneur@insa-rouen.fr 10 Abstract: The use of alkyl levulinates is growing interest in fuels. Adding n-butyl levulinate (BL) to fuels presents some benefits compared to ethyl levulinate. The conventional production route of BL is from 11 12 the esterification of levulinic acid, but the latter compound presents some corrosion issues. Alcoholysis 13 of fructose by butanol over cation exchange resins (solid catalyst) seems to be a better alternative. The effect of water addition, solvent, swelling effect (from the cation exchange resin), and fructose solubility 14 15 at temperatures higher than 25°C are unclear on this reaction. To understand these effects, the 16 alcoholysis of fructose by butanol at 110°C in different solvents, e.g., gamma-valerolactone (GVL), were 17 studied in a pressurized autoclave in an inert environment. The dissolution study was conducted in a 18 temperature range of 20-120°C in different solvents. The concentration profiles of fructose, 5-19 (hydroxymethyl)furfural (HMF), 5-(butoxymethyl)furfural (BMF) and BL were analyzed in different 20 solvents: butanol/water, butanol, butanol/GVL/water and butanol/GVL. We found that using a butanol/GVL (70/30 wt%) solvent is better from the conversion and dissolution viewpoints. 21 22 Keywords: Mass transfer; Alcoholysis; Solvent effect; Solid catalyst

23

24 1. Introduction

25 The depletion of fossil fuels together with growing concern about the environmental impact of human activities is pushing the research for new and renewable sources of energy and raw materials. 26 27 Lignocellulosic biomass (LCB) is considered a valuable ally in producing chemicals, fuels, and materials, 28 being an abundant, renewable and carbon-fixing resource. In opposition to food biomass which can 29 incur in the food versus fuel dilemma, LCB (second generation biomass) represents an excellent 30 alternative feedstock in biorefinery [1,2]. In this context, the use of lignocellulosic biomass and its 31 conversion to value-added chemicals and fuels has become increasingly widespread. [3,4]. Considering 32 LCB main components: lignin, cellulose and hemicellulose, the development of lignin valorization into 33 chemicals is still under progress due to its complex and heterogeneous aromatic polymeric structure [5-11]. Meanwhile, the industrial valorization of cellulose and hemicellulose into so-called platform 34 35 molecules is mature [12–18]. Several pretreatments allow fractioning these complex biomass polymers into simple sugar-monomers, valuable through different chemical pathways to several platform 36 37 molecules such as 5-(Hydroxymethyl)furfural (HMF), glycols, sorbitol or levulinic acid and alkyl levulinates (Fig. 1) [17-19]. 38



Fig. 1. Valorization of cellulose adapted from literature [18].

39

40

42 Alkyl levulinates are promising and versatile platform molecules issued from cellulose and/or 43 hemicellulose alcoholysis with broad industrial applications. Esters of levulinic acid can simply be 44 produced by esterification of levulinic acid [20-23], but the low yield in the production of levulinic acid, 45 together with the high costs of separation and purification, make this route less beneficial compared to 46 using more affordable starting feedstocks as biomass-derived monosaccharides: glucose and fructose 47 [4,24–31]. Although glucose is the most abundant monomers and the least expensive substrate over 48 other monomers [32], fructose shows higher molecular instability and faster reactivity to be dehydrated 49 to 5-(hydroxymethyl)furfural, first intermediate in the pathway to alkyl levulinate, whereas glucose must 50 first be isomerized to fructose [33].

51 From an energy viewpoint, alkyl levulinates can be used as blending components for biodiesel or fuel 52 oxygenate additives [34,35], improving fuel quality and reducing pollutant emission [36,37]. In 2017, 53 Tian et al. demonstrated that methyl and ethyl levulinate have higher anti-knock quality than Euro95 54 gasoline [38]. Wang et al. investigated the use of ethyl levulinate as a diesel blend, resulting in a higher 55 closed cup flash point, oxygen content, and lower kinematic viscosity compared to n-butanol [39]. 56 Christensen et al., have shown that butyl levulinate (BL) can improve conductivity, cold flow properties 57 and lubricity of diesel fuel and reduce its vapor pressure [40]. Furthermore, BL was found to remain in 58 solution with diesel down to the fuel cloud point and to have more compatibility with elastomers, 59 compared to ethyl levulinate, which tends to separate from diesel at a temperature below 0 °C and 60 results to be more corrosive [40]. Frigo et al. showed that diesel fuel blended with a mixture of butyl 61 levulinate and dibutyl ether could reduce particulate emissions without changing engine power efficiency 62 or increasing the NOx emission [41].

Alcoholysis of sugar monomers to BL is catalyzed mainly by Brønsted-acids [42]. Traditional mineral acids are gradually being substituted by heterogeneous acid catalysts, cause of equipment corrosion, separation and neutralization costs. Zeolites, ion exchange resins, metal oxides, nanomaterials and other numerous solid catalysts have been tested to produce butyl levulinate from biomass-derived carbohydrates [42].

An et al. studied the production of BL from the alcoholysis of different carbohydrates and found that ferric sulfate was the most efficient [33]. They found that with a catalyst loading of 5 g/L, a reaction temperature of 190°C, and a fructose concentration of 25 g/L, the yield of BL was found to be 62.8 mol% for 3 hours of reaction time.

Higher alkyl levulinate yields from fructose were also confirmed by Liu et al. [29] using sulfonic acidfunctionalized carbon materials. BL has been produced with a yield of 78 mol% after 12 h and complete
fructose conversion [29].

Ramirez et al. made an in-depth assessment on the use of ion-exchange resins for the direct transformation of fructose into BL by alcoholysis [43]. Using a water/butanol mixture, they detected BL production only at a temperature higher than 100°C. They also showed that between 17-37% of fructose is lost in humins production. They studied catalyst reuse and found that fresh and twice-reused catalysts have similar activity. In this assessment, gel-type Dowex 50Wx2 resulted in the best catalyst according to BL yield.

81 Several research studies on the alcoholysis of sugars into alkyl levulinate essentially focus on the 82 catalysis. To the best of our knowledge, there are not many studies on the crucial role of solvent, which 83 can affect the reaction kinetics and the product selectivity, sugar dissolution, polymerization, and 84 degradation. Sun et al. reported that the conversion of sugars and furans, particularly fructose and 5-85 (hydroxymethyl)furfural, is different in different solvents, depending on their polarity and protic aprotic 86 nature [44]. Protons transfer is a key point in the acid-catalyzed alcoholysis mechanism, and the solvent 87 plays a crucial role [45]. Sugar monomers showed high reactivity in water, one of the most used solvents 88 in lignocellulosic biomass derivatives pretreatment and conversion. Water provides a good solvation 89 environment, allowing high dissociation of Brønsted acids and also high solubility of carbohydrates [46]. 90 On the other hand, the high reactivity in aqueous systems results in greater instability of sugars and 91 furan intermediates which tend to undergo acid-catalyzed side reactions, as production of by-product 92 humins [44,47,48]. An alternative to minimize side reactions is using polar organic solvents, particularly 93 alcohols [47,48]. Some studies reported promising alkyl levulinates yields from fructose alcoholysis in 94 pure alcohols. Ramirez et al. [43] obtained a 73.4 mol% butyl levulinate yield from fructose alcoholysis 95 in pure butanol, and Sun et al. [44] a yield of 51.6 mol% ethyl levulinate from fructose alcoholysis in pure 96 ethanol, both catalyzed by ion exchange resins. Excess of alcohol results in a major control of the 97 conversion steps, protons transfer and limitation of the polymerization of sugars and furans [44,46]. In 98 pure butanol solvent, the selectivity of BL can also be lowered by the side reaction of etherification 99 producing dibutyl ether [43,49,50]. Besides the polar protic alcoholic medium, some studies highlighted 100 the role of polar aprotic solvents in lowering unwanted degradation reactions of intermediates [51,52]. 101 The introduction of co-solvents might further minimize possible side-reaction products. Among polar 102 aprotic solvents, y-valerolactone (GVL) may be a valid co-solvent in fructose alcoholysis. Several

103 patents suggested it as a green alternative to classical hazardous solvents since it can be produced 104 starting from derived-biomass fructose via hydrogenation of levulinic acid or alkyl levulinates [53]. In 105 2014, M.A. Mellmer et al. [52] demonstrated the benefits of using polar aprotic solvents, such as GVL, 106 for acid-catalyzed reactions. Capecci et al. [54] reported the synthesis of GVL by hydrogenation of butyl 107 levulinate, identifying an excess of GVL as the best solvent system. Although the REACh registration is 108 still missing for GVL, some acute toxic data (LD_{50} oral-rat = 8800 mg/kg), its overall structure and 109 properties suggest its stability, biodegradability and not toxicity [55], responding to the principle of benign 110 solvents and auxiliaries of Green Chemistry principles [56].

111 Despite many publications on this topic, several aspects are missing in the literature concerning the 112 alcoholysis of fructose over cation exchange resins, such as the solvent effect, the role of water, the 113 resin swelling and fructose dissolution within a temperature range of 60-100°C. In this study, a deep 114 investigation on the production of BL from the fructose alcoholysis was carried out over an ion exchange 115 resin: Amberlite IR-120. Being very effective in sugar monomers conversion and esterification reactions 116 [57-60], Amberlite IR-120 was chosen because of its good stability, high proton capacity, affordability 117 and recyclability without a significant decrease in its activity [58,61,62]. Solvent effects on the production 118 of BL and intermediates were evaluated as well as on the fructose dissolution at different temperatures. 119 A mass transfer study was performed, and the swelling of Amberlite IR-120 was also measured

121 2. Experimental section

122 2.1 Chemicals

Fructose (\geq 99% purity), 5-(hydroxymethyl)furfural (HMF, 99% purity), 5-(ethoxymethyl)furfural (EMF, 97% purity) and γ -valerolactone (\geq 99% purity) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. 1-Butanol (BuOH, \geq 99,5 % purity), butyl levulinate (BL, \geq 98% purity), acetonitrile (ACN, \geq 99,9% purity), butyl formate (BF, \geq 97% purity) and acetone (\geq 99,9% purity) from VWR chemicals. Amberlite IR120 (H+ form, ion-exchange resin) commercial catalyst provided by Acros Organics. Nitrogen gas (N₂ purity > 99,999 vol%) from Linde. All chemicals were employed without further purification.

129 2.2 Analytical methods

130 Reaction samples were analyzed by combining gas and liquid chromatography. HPLC Agilent 1100 131 Series was employed to quantify fructose, equipped with a SUPELCOSIL LC-NH2 column (250 mm x 132 4.6 mm x 5 µm), a UV detector set at 191 nm, and by using a mixture of acetonitrile and ultrapure water 133 (90:10 v/v %) as mobile phase, with a flow rate of 1 mL/min and constant column temperature of 30 °C. 134 Reaction products, such as HMF, BL, 5-(butoxymethyl)furfural (BMF), and solvent systems were 135 detected by Bruker Scion 456-GC, equipped with a VF-1701ms Agilent column (60.0 m x 250 µm x 0.25 136 µm) and a flame ionization detector (FID). The injector and detector temperature were 250 °C, and the 137 oven temperature was programmed from 40 °C to 250 °C with 20 °C/min of ramp rate. All experimental 138 quantifications were based on daily calibration curves with standard solutions of pure commercially 139 available chemicals. EMF was used as a reference for the BMF calibration curve due to its commercial 140 unavailability. Each experimental sample was analysed three times to estimate the error in the analytical 141 method, in term of standard deviation.



143 Fructose conversion (%) =
$$\left(1 - \frac{\text{mole of fructose after the reaction}}{\text{initial mole of fructose}}\right) * 100$$
 (1)

144 BL yield (%) =
$$\frac{\text{mole of BL after the reaction}}{\text{initial mole of fructose}} * 100$$
 (2)

145 Catalyst loading
$$(\omega_{CAT}) = \frac{\text{mass of catalyst}}{\text{liquid volume}} (g/L)$$
 (3)

147 2.3 Experimental set-up

The alcoholysis reaction runs were performed in a 300 mL Parr stainless steel batch reactor, under isothermal and isobaric conditions. The reactor was equipped with an electrical heating jacket and a cooling coil, together with a thermocouple capable of measuring the reaction temperature and communicating with the temperature controller. The presence of a gas entrainment impeller (diameter 2.5 cm) with a hollow shaft provided a uniform mixing of the reacting mixture.

153 For each experiment, the reactor was loaded with 1.6 g of fructose, 35 g/L of catalyst loading (4.5 - 4.9154 g of catalyst) and a fixed volume of liquid. After loading the reaction mixture, catalyst and assembling 155 the reactor, the system was pressurized with nitrogen at 20 bars to limit the gas-liquid partition of the 156 liquid phase [43,58]. Then, the temperature heater and rotation stirrer were switched on. The first sample 157 was collected when the desired temperature was reached (time zero). Following the time zero sample, 158 samples were collected at 5 min, 30 min, and then every hour up to 7 h. The reaction temperature was 159 fixed to 110 °C, demonstrated by preliminary experiments to be the optimum between catalytic activity 160 and catalyst resistance towards temperature. Indeed, sulfonic groups, i.e., active sites, from Amberlite 161 IR-120 can leach when the temperature is higher than 120°C. Table 1 shows the experimental matrix 162 with the different solvent systems.

163

Table 1: Experimental matrix with the initial amount of fructose, butanol, water and GVL.

	Run	Fructose (g)	Butanol wt%	Water wt%	GVL wt%	ω _{CAT} (g/L)	T(°C)	rpm	Reaction time (h)	Inert gas
	1	1.6	83	17	0	35	110	800	7	N ₂ (20 bar)
3VL	2	1.6	91.5	8.5	0	35	110	800	7	N ₂ (20 bar)
0 0	3	1.6	96	4	0	35	110	800	7	N ₂ (20 bar)
	4	1.6	100	0	0	35	110	800	7	N ₂ (20 bar)
	5	1.6	2.5	8.5	89	35	110	800	7	N ₂ (20 bar)
GVL	6	1.6	60.5	8.5	30	35	110	800	7	N ₂ (20 bar)
	7	1.6	76.5	8.5	15	35	110	800	7	N ₂ (20 bar)
_	8	1.6	70	0	30	35	110	800	7	N ₂ (20 bar)

164

Amberlite IR-120 was pre-treated before its use, as described by Leveneur et al. [62]. The catalyst was washed through several cycles in water and a final one in butanol, which is the main reaction solvent, after being dried in an oven at 90 °C at atmospheric pressure for 5 hours. Amberlite IR-120 is a cation exchange resins composed of sulfonated styrene-divinyl benzene (PS-DVB) matrix with sulfonic acid functional groups. The catalyst is a gel-type resin, bead-shaped, in which PS-DVB copolymers result in

- a set of tangled chains with no spaces between them in the dry state. The degree of cross-linking, linked
 to the level of divinylbenzene, represents the tightness of the resin. Table 2 shows the properties of
 Amberlite IR-120.
- 173

Table 2: Properties of Amberlite IR-120 according to the manufacturer (Acros Oganics).

Supplier	Acros Organics
Structure	Styrene-divinylbenzene
Resin type	Gel-type
Cross linking (DVB%)	8
Moisture content (% mass)	48-58
Capacity by dry weight (meq/g)	4.4
Native particle size range (µm)	≥ 94 % (300 < d < 1180 µm)

174

175 2.4 Mass transfer study

176 To evaluate the effect of internal mass transfer, it is important to measure the effect of different particle 177 size ranges on the kinetics. Thus, the dried catalyst particles were sieved. As a result, the dried particle 178 size distribution (PSD) evidenced a high percentage (ca. 84 %) of particles with diameters higher than 179 500 µm and the remaining particles with diameters between 300 and 500 µm. The influence of external 180 and internal mass transfer resistances was investigated by varying agitation speed and particle size. As 181 shown in Table 3, varying the rotating speed between 500 and 1000 rpm, the external mass transfer 182 limitation was studied for the finest particle size distribution (runs 1',2',3' in Table 3). An optimum rotating 183 speed was selected to supress the external mass transfer resistance. The presence of internal mass 184 limitation was examined by considering the different particle size distribution (finest, highest and native 185 distribution; Runs 4',5',6' in Table 3).

186

5 Table 3: Experimental matrix for external and internal mass transfer limitation investigations.

_	RUN	PSD	Fructose (g)	Butanol wt%	Water wt%	ω _{CAT} (g/L)	T(°C)	rpm	t(h)	Inert gas
	1'	Fine	1.6	83	17	35	110	500	7	N ₂ (20 bar)
X.	2'	Fine	1.6	83	17	35	110	800	7	N ₂ (20 bar)
-	3'	Fine	1.6	83	17	35	110	1000	7	N ₂ (20 bar)
	4'	Fine	1.6	83	17	35	110	800	7	N ₂ (20 bar)
Int.	5'	High	1.6	83	17	35	110	800	7	N2 (20 bar)
	6'	Native	1.6	83	17	35	110	800	7	N ₂ (20 bar)

187

189 Being characterized by cross-linked styrene-divinylbenzene, the particle size of Amberlite IR120 is also 190 affected by the swelling effect due to the solvent. In other words, even if the amount of dried catalyst is 191 the same, the kinetics could be different if the solvent is different due to different swelling behavior. The 192 same procedure described in the article of Russo et al. was used [58,63]. Based on the Bodamer and 193 Kunin procedure [64], the swelling degree was investigated in each solvent system to observe the 194 catalyst behavior in all solvents. The parameter was evaluated by adding an aliquot of 20 mL of dry 195 catalyst to a graduated cylinder of 100 mL. The initial volume was read to the nearest 0.5 mL. Then, the 196 resin was covered with the specific solvent mixture to fill the cylinder volume without shaking and 197 tapping. After 120 h, the volume was read, and the swelling percentage was calculated as follows:

198
$$\% SW = \frac{\text{final volume } (V_{\text{final}}) - \text{initial volume } (V_{\text{initial}})}{\text{initial volume } (V_{\text{initial}})} * 100$$
(4)

199

200 2.5 Fructose dissolution investigation

201 The fructose dissolution has been measured in the different solvent mixtures considered in this study. 202 By using the same experimental equipment described above, a modified analytical method was applied 203 [65]; a solvent mixture of 140 mL volume together with a known amount of fructose (i.e. the specific 204 fructose concentration of 11 g/L used in the alcoholysis experiments) is added to the system, kept in 205 agitation at 800 rpm, at constant temperature and pressure and for a period of time sufficient to 206 determine the equilibrium of the system. Engasser et al. [66] observed a rapid initial phase in the 207 dissolution kinetics of fructose in which around 40% of the maximal concentration was reached in less 208 than 5 minutes. Thus, a time interval of 30 min was assumed as sufficient to reach the equilibrium in 209 this study. The system was tested under different temperature conditions by measuring the fructose 210 concentration at each temperature (Fig. 2). In particular, fructose dissolution has been investigated in 211 pure butanol and butanol-GVL mixture 70/30 wt% (Table 4).





Fig. 2. Temperature profile versus time in fructose dissolution study.

_

Table 4: Experimental matrix for fructose dissolution study.

RUN	Fructose (g)	Butanol wt%	GVL wt%	rpm	Inert gas	
S1	1.6	100	0	800	N ₂ (20 bar)	
S2	1.6	70	30	800	N ₂ (20 bar)	

217 3. Results and discussion

According to several articles, the reaction mechanism of fructose alcoholysis by butanol occurs according to Fig. 3 [43,67-69]. In acidic system, fructose tends to be rapidly dehydrated to HMF, first reaction intermediate. Through the alcoholic medium, HMF can be rehydrated to levulinic acid, then esterified to butyl levulinate or be transformed into the corresponding ether, 5-BMF, then converted to BL. Besides BL, butyl formate is also produced in an equimolar ratio. Experimentally, we observed the pathway through 5-(butoxymethyl)furfural (HMF) as dominant since the production of levulinic acid is very low, with concentrations close to zero throughout the reaction time. This might be due to the low water concentration, which is insufficient to favor the rehydration of HMF rather than its etherification with butanol [42]. For this reason, LA concentration trends were not considered in the subsequent discussion of the results. Furthermore, the reaction scheme constituted of several consecutive and parallel reactions also count the inevitable production of humins from polymerization side-reactions of fructose and HMF. Studies claim that BMF does not degrade into humins [67].



237 3.1 Swelling effect

Table 5 shows that the swelling test of Amberlite IR120 showed similar behavior in the different solvents and mixtures tested: pure butanol, pure GVL, pure water, BuOH/GVL/Water, BuOH/GVL and BuOH/Water. Although slightly lower in pure GVL, the swelling factor is over 100 % in each solvent system. Hence, the difference of kinetics for the alcoholysis of fructose by butanol over Amberlite IR-120 in these solvents is not due to the swelling effect. Therefore, if the swelling effect is the same in these solvents, the mass transfer study was only performed in BuOH/Water.

244

Table 5: Results from swelling study of Amberlite IR-120 at room temperature.

Solver	nt	V ₀ (mL)	V _{fin} (mL)	%SW
Pure BuOH	-	20	42	110±5
Pure GVL	-	20	39	95±5
Pure Water	-	20	42	110±5
BuOH/GVL/Water	53/30/17 %wt	20	42	110±5
BuOH/GVL	70/30 %wt	20	42	110±5
BuOH/Water	83/17 %wt	20	41	105

245

While the results in pure water and binary mixture butanol-water are comparable with the ones obtained in literature (around 100 % in water and 110 % in ethanol-water mixture) [58,64], the swelling effect in pure butanol is higher than that reported in the literature for ethanol (40 % obtained by Bodamer and Kunin [64], 70 % by Russo et al. [58]). The swelling parameter in the presence of GVL shows that the addition of this solvent does not affect the swelling behavior of the catalyst.

252 3.2 Evaluation of external and internal mass transfer

253 -External mass transfer

Figs 4 show the effect of stirring rate on the kinetics of fructose alcoholysis. One can notice that the fructose consumption is higher at 800 rpm than at 500 rpm or 1000 rpm (Fig. 4A). The fact that the kinetics decreases from 1000 to 800 rpm is undoubtedly due to the vortex effect. Similar observation can be done for BL, HMF and BMF concentration ratio (Fig. 4D, 4B, 4C). To decrease the effect of external mass transfer resistance, experiments were performed at 800 rpm.



Fig. 4. Effect of the stirring rate on the concentrations (normalised with respect to the fructose concentration at time zero): (A) fructose, (B) HMF, (C) BMF, and (D) BL.

259

260

262 -Internal mass transfer

Figs 5 show the effect of catalyst PSD on the fructose alcoholysis. One can notice that in the operating conditions of this study, the catalyst PSD of Amberlite IR120 has a very negligible effect on the trends of fructose consumption (Fig. 5A), on HMF production (Fig. 5B), on BMF production (Fig. 5C), and on BL production (Fig. 5D). Thus, the internal mass transfer resistance can be considered to be negligible.



Fig. 5. Effect of catalyst PSD on the concentrations (normalised with respect to the fructose concentration at time zero): (A) fructose, (B) HMF, (C) BMF, and (D) BL.

267

- 268 Based on external and internal mass transfer study, the experiments were performed with native PSD
- and at 800 rpm to avoid the interferences with mass transfer limitation.

270

272 3.3 Dissolution investigation

In the presence of water, even at low concentrations, it was observed that fructose dissolution could be
considered instantaneously. For that reason, the dissolution of fructose in a 70/30 wt% BuOH/GVL and
pure butanol solvent was studied.

The benefit of a 70/30 wt% BuOH/GVL compared to a pure butanol solution was found through fructose dissolution. Fig. 6 shows that fructose solubility increases with temperature up to 110°C, fructose degradation to humins is significant. Fructose dissolution results faster in a 70/30 wt% BuOH/GVL solvent for temperature lower than 100°C, already reaching 33% of total concentration at 60°C and complete dissolution at 100°C. The effect of BuOH/GVL solution in promoting fructose dissolution at temperatures below 100 °C could be advantageous for catalysts suffering from thermal instability.



Fig. 6. Fructose dissolution in pure butanol and GVL/BuOH (30/70 wt%) solvents versus temperature.

- 284
- 285
- 286
- 287
- 288
- 289
- 290
- -
- 291

292 3.4 Analysis of the effect of solvents in the alcoholysis of fructose.

293 - <u>Butanol-Water</u>

294 From Fig. 7A, it is not possible to observe a clear trend concerning the role of water concentration on 295 the kinetics of fructose consumption since its reduction is due simultaneously to its rapid conversion to 296 HMF and degradation to humins. The trends of HMF production and consumption give a better insight 297 into the role of water. In Fig. 7B, HMF consumption is faster and total in the absence of water (Run 4, 298 Fig. 7B), whereas at the highest water concentration, 17 wt% (i.e., Run 1, Fig. 7B), its conversion is 299 slower. In high-water content, HMF is still present after 7 h, with a final yield of 18.3 %. The same water 300 effects are also reflected in BMF concentration trends (Fig. 7C). BMF shows an upward concentration 301 trend throughout the reaction time with 17 wt% of water (Run 1); by reducing the water concentration, 302 the consumption phase of this intermediate becomes more and more pronounced. From Fig. 7C, the 303 fastest kinetic is obtained in the absence of water, where the maximum of BMF is achieved at 180 304 minutes. This observation is confirmed by Fig. 7D where the BL production is faster and higher by 305 reducing the water content. The increase in BL production, i.e., in yield of BL, by decreasing the water 306 content is also directly related to the moles of fructose lost during the reaction time. Table 6 reports the 307 fructose loss (mol%) due to by-sides reactions, as degradation to humins, which amounts to around 308 22% with 17% water and is reduced to 1.5% in the absence of water, increasing BL yield of up to 57.5%.

309



Fig. 7. Effect of water concentration on the concentrations (normalised with respect to the fructose concentration at time zero): (A) fructose, (B) HMF, (C) BMF, and (D) BL

311

These results may confirm the role of water in affecting the stability of fructose in alcoholic medium, favoring its degradation to humins by-product [44,46,48]. Besides, to increase fructose loss into humins, the role of the water in inhibiting catalytic action of ion exchange resins, as suggested by some research [70,71].

316

Table 6: Fructose moles lost in butanol-water solvent (110 °C, fructose initial conc. 11 g/L, catalyst loading 35 g/L).

Run	Butanol (wt%)	Water (wt%)	GVL (wt%)	Fructose lost mol%
1	83	17	0	22.2
2	91.5	8.5	0	16.1
3	96	4	0	5.7
4	100	0	0	1.5

319

321 - Butanol-Water (8.5wt%)-GVL

In these experiments, the effect of aprotic polar solvent, namely GVL, was evaluated in the solvent polar
 protic mixture butanol-water. In this system, 8.5 wt% water was added as the minimum water content to
 achieve the fastest fructose dissolution and thus neglect the dissolution effect on reaction kinetics.

325 Fig. 8A shows that the kinetics of fructose consumption is faster at the highest GVL concentration (i.e., 326 Run 5). When the concentration of GVL is lower, the kinetics of fructose consumption is slower (Fig. 327 8A). This could derive from the ability of GVL to promote further the dissolution of fructose in the alcohol 328 medium, as demonstrated in the dissolution study, by facilitating the interaction with the catalyst. 329 However, the rapid fructose conversion in GVL excess is not reflected in the HMF production and 330 consumption trend, which is faster when GVL concentration decreases (Fig. 8B). This may be because 331 the reaction pathway seems to change in excess of GVL. In contrast to the other experiments, a modest 332 concentration of LA was produced in Run 5, with yields in LA of up to 15%. LA was not identified in Runs 333 5 and 6, where high concentrations of the intermediate BMF can be found. HMF concentration trends in 334 30 wt% (Run 5) and 15 wt% (Run 6) are similar, with a higher HMF concentration produced in the case 335 of 30 wt% of GVL. In both solvent systems, a residual HMF concentration can be found after 7 hours, in 336 contrast to Run 2 where a complete conversion of HMF is observed for the same water content. This 337 may highlight the role of the aprotic solvent in stabilizing reaction intermediates such as HMF. The same 338 trend was also observed for the BMF consumption and production concentration trends (Fig. 8C). From 339 Fig. 8C, the production of BMF is remarkably low at the highest GVL concentration, as a result of LA 340 production by HMF rehydration, and with an optimal production trend in 30 wt% GVL. Fig. 8D shows 341 that high GVL concentration does not increase the BL production in the reaction time considered, 342 possibly due to slower LA esterification kinetics to BL than BMF conversion and a non-excess of alcohol 343 in the medium. GVL concentration between 15 and 30 wt% gives a higher BL concentration. Low GVL 344 amount improves the production of BL compared to Run 2 in terms of yields, but a higher GVL amount is detrimental for BL production (Fig. 8D). The beneficial role of GVL is also demonstrated by evaluating 345 346 the secondary consumption of fructose moles (Table 7), where the addition of GVL can result in up to 347 5% less of fructose lost, compared to the solvent butanol-water. As an optimum in terms of BL production 348 and fructose mole loss, experimental results indicate the addition of 30 wt% GVL in the solvent medium.

351

Table 7: Fructose moles lost in butanol-water-GVL solvent, compared with Run 2 (110 °C, fructose initial conc. 11 g/L, catalyst loading 35 g/L).

Run	Butanol (wt%)	Water (wt%)	GVL (wt%)	Fructose lost mol%
2	91.5	8.5	0	16.1
5	2.5	8.5	89	9.1
6	60.5	8.5	30	10
7	76.5	8.5	15	11.9



Fig. 8. Effect of GVL concentration on the concentrations (normalised with respect to the fructose concentration at time zero): (A) fructose, (B) HMF, (C) BMF, and (D) BL.

358

359 - Butanol-GVL in the absence of water

360 Previous results showed that water does not improve the fructose alcoholysis to butyl levulinate. From Run 6, the addition of 30 w% of GVL increases the BL yield. A further investigation was done by 361 362 performing an experiment with 30 w% of GVL in the absence of water (Run 8). Fig. 9A shows that 363 fructose consumption in a 30 wt% GVL (Run 8) is slower than pure butanol. This result may be due to 364 GVL ability to limit unwanted degradation reaction to humins, resulting in higher selectivity to reaction 365 intermediates such as HMF and BMF. In fact, the production of HMF and BMF is more important at 30 366 wt% of GVL (Figs 9B and C). In 30 wt% GVL, HMF is still present in moderate concentration after 7 367 hours, whereas in pure butanol, it rapidly reaches complete conversion. As with fructose, this could be due to the role of GVL in stabilising the HMF intermediate and limiting its degradation. This is also 368

369 reflected in the reduction of the moles of fructose lost, which are approximately zero in the presence of 370 GVL and greater than 1% in pure alcohol, as shown in Table 8. This observation is confirmed by Fig. 371 9D where the concentration of BL increases at 30 wt.% of BL. Although the consumption kinetics of 372 fructose and intermediates are slower in the presence of GVL, the production of BL is higher and faster 373 than in pure butanol.





Fig. 9. Effect of BuOH/GVL concentration on the concentrations (normalised with respect to the fructose concentration at time zero): (A) fructose, (B) HMF, (C) BMF, and (D) BL.

375

Table 8: Comparison fructose moles lost in run 4 and 8 (110 °C, fructose initial conc. 11 g/L, catalyst
 loading 35 g/L).

Run	Butanol wt%	Water wt%	GVL wt%	Fructose lost mol%
4	100	0	0	1.5
8	70	0	30	0

379 3.5 Comparison with literature data

380 Table 9 compared the literature data of fructose solvolysis to BL over different solid acid catalysts with 381 the most promising obtained in this study. Since other reaction conditions are used, the comparison is 382 not directly straightforward. Considering the same cross-linking grade (DVB%=8), since it directly affects 383 the catalytic activity [43], Amberlite IR120 has been compared with Amberlyst 39 and Dowex 50Wx8. In 384 the presence of water, the yield of BL obtained with Amberlite IR120 (entry 3) is slightly higher than the 385 corresponding with Dowex50Wx8 (entry 5) and Amberlyst 39 (entry 6), considering a higher catalyst 386 loading but a lower temperature and a lower acid capacity (4.4 mea/g versus 4.83 mea/g of Dowex 50Wx8 387 and 4.82 meg/g of Amberlyst 39, respectively [43]). The elimination of water from the solvent mixture 388 drastically affects the production of BL, increasing the yield to 57.5 % (entry 2) in pure butanol, from 389 30.0 % (entry 3) in the presence of 17 wt% of water. This is due to the role of water in the degradative 390 consumption of fructose leading to up to 22% of fructose moles lost, and secondly, its possible inhibiting 391 effect on the catalytic activity of the resin. As shown, the BL yield increased significantly, reaching values 392 comparable to those in the literature for other solid acid catalysts. An et al. [33] reported a 62.8 % of BL 393 yield in the presence of Fe₂(SO₄)₃ at 190 °C; Kuo et al. [72] obtained 67 % of BL yield by using TiO₂ 394 nanoparticles at 150 °C; Balakrishnan et al. [73] found lower yields by using Dowex 50Wx8 resin, maybe 395 due to the high catalyst loading.

396 Table 9 shows that the BL production is further improved by introducing GVL in butanol solvent system 397 (30/70 wt%), leading to 60.4 % yield (entry 1). The presence of GVL also led to an increase in HMF and 398 BMF selectivity, resulting in a higher residual concentration of these intermediates after the reaction 399 time compared to the case of pure butanol. The addition of GVL determined a strong reduction in 400 fructose moles lost, up to 7% less in a solvent system with water (Table 7, run 2 versus 6), and no moles 401 lost in BuOH/GVL solvent system (table 8, run 8). The effect on fructose moles balance and the residual 402 intermediate concentrations may suggest that GVL prevents the consumption of fructose and HMF by 403 secondary reactions, such as degradation to humins, and that even higher BL yields could be expected 404 by increasing the contact time.

In summary, fructose alcoholysis on Amberlite IR120 achieved good BL yields, comparable to those
obtained in other studies but significant in energy savings under more moderate temperature conditions.
The use of GVL in the alcohol medium increased the butyl levulinate production and resulted in faster
dissolution of fructose, promising benefits from the perspective of considering production from higher
concentrations of fructose.

Table 9: Comparison with literature data on fructose alcoholysis to butyl levulinate.

Entry	Catalyst	T (°C)	t (h)	Fructose (g/L)	Solvent (wt%)		ω _{CAT} (g/L)	X _{fruct} %	Y _{BL} %	Ref.
1		110	7	11	BuOH/GVL	70/30	35	100	60.4	
2	Amberlite IR120	110	7	11	BuOH	-	35	100	57.5	This study
3		110	7	11	BuOH/Water	83/17	35	100	30.0	,
4	Dowex	110	30	72	BuOH	-	260	97	14.0	[73]
5	50Wx8	120	6	21	BuOH/Water	83/17	14.3	>99.5	24.2	
6	Amberlyst 39	120	6	21	BuOH/Water	83/17	14.3	>99.5	25.3	[43]
7	Fe ₂ (SO ₄) ₃	190	3	25	BuOH	-	5	>99	62.8	[33]
8	TiO ₂	150	1	20	BuOH	-	5	100	67.0	[72]
9	CNT-PSSA	120	12	12.5	BuOH	-	5	99	78.0	[29]

413 4. Conclusions

The alcoholysis of biomass-derived fructose into promising fuel additive n-butyl levulinate over ion exchange resins was studied in this research, showing the benefits of polar aprotic solvent GVL in terms of fructose dissolution and production of BL.

The swelling behavior of the catalyst was studied and found to be independent of the nature of the solvents tested. Furthermore, it has been shown that the influence of external and internal mass transfer can be neglected using 800 rpm of rotating speed and the native particle size distribution by using our experimental setup.

- 421 Among the solvent mixtures tested, BuOH/GVL (70/30 wt%) has increased fructose dissolution 422 compared to pure butanol. Fructose dissolution was faster in BuOH/GVL (70/30 wt%) between 20 and
- 423 100°C, achieving complete dissolution at 100 °C. Temperature higher than 110 °C results in significant
- 424 degradation of fructose in both solvents.
- 425 The influence of water and GVL co-solvent (polar aprotic) were investigated on the kinetics of fructose,
- 426 HMF, BMF and BL. The addition of water did not improve BL production kinetics, although it promotes
- 427 the rapid dissolution of fructose even at low temperatures. At the contrary, BL yield increased from 30
- 428 % with 17 wt% of water to 57.5 % in the absence of water. Finally, the yield was further increased to
- 429 60.4 % by adding 30 wt% of GVL in butanol.
- 430 Considering the fructose dissolution and kinetic results, the use of BuOH/GVL (70/30 wt%) solvent is
- the best solution in the alcoholysis of fructose to butyl levulinate over solid acid catalyst.

433 Acknowledgments

434 This article happens within the project ARBRE. ARBRE is cofound by European Union through 435 the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) and by Normandy Region, via the support of "pôle CTM (Continuum Terre-Mer) and EP2M (Énergies, Propulsion, Matière, Matériaux) de 436 437 Normandie université". The Daniele Di Menno Di Bucchianico's doctoral thesis is done in the 438 framework of "ARBRE RIN 100%" funded by Region Normandie, and this thesis is a part of the 439 research activities of "pôle CTM (Continuum Terre-Mer) de Normandie Université". For the 440 analytical part, this work has been partially supported by University of Rouen Normandy, INSA Rouen Normandy, the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), European 441 442 Regional Development Fund (ERDF) N° HN0001343, Labex SynOrg (ANR-11-LABX-0029), 443 Carnot Institute I2C, the graduate school for reasearch XL-Chem (ANR-18-EURE-0020 XL 444 CHEM) and by Region Normandie. GC was financed by FEDER RIN Green Chem 445 2019NU01FOBC08 Number: 17P04374.

447 **References**

Valentine J, Clifton-Brown J, Hastings A, Robson P, Allison G, Smith P. Food vs. fuel: the use of
land for lignocellulosic 'next generation' energy crops that minimize competition with primary food
production. Global Change Biol Bioenergy 2012;4:1–19. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.17571707.2011.01111.x.

Wagle A, Angove MJ, Mahara A, Wagle A, Mainali B, Martins M, et al. Multi-stage pretreatment
of lignocellulosic biomass for multi-product biorefinery: A review. Sustainable Energy Technologies and
Assessments 2022;49:101702. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seta.2021.101702.

455 [3] Oriez V, Peydecastaing J, Pontalier P-Y. Lignocellulosic Biomass Fractionation by Mineral Acids
456 and Resulting Extract Purification Processes: Conditions, Yields, and Purities. Molecules 2019;24:4273.
457 https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24234273.

Li M-F, Yang S, Sun R-C. Recent advances in alcohol and organic acid fractionation of
lignocellulosic biomass. Bioresource Technology 2016;200:971–80.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.10.004.

461 [5] Lu X, Lagerquist L, Eränen K, Hemming J, Eklund P, Estel L, et al. Reductive Catalytic
462 Depolymerization of Semi-industrial Wood-Based Lignin. Ind Eng Chem Res 2021;60:16827–38.
463 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.1c03154.

464 [6] Ragauskas AJ, Beckham GT, Biddy MJ, Chandra R, Chen F, Davis MF, et al. Lignin Valorization:
465 Improving Lignin Processing in the Biorefinery. Science 2014;344:1246843.
466 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1246843.

467 [7] Rinaldi R, Jastrzebski R, Clough MT, Ralph J, Kennema M, Bruijnincx PCA, et al. Paving the Way
468 for Lignin Valorisation: Recent Advances in Bioengineering, Biorefining and Catalysis. Angew Chem Int
469 Ed 2016;55:8164–215. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201510351.

470 [8] Sun Z, Fridrich B, de Santi A, Elangovan S, Barta K. Bright Side of Lignin Depolymerization:
471 Toward New Platform Chemicals. Chem Rev 2018;118:614–78.
472 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00588.

473 [9] Azadi P, Inderwildi OR, Farnood R, King DA. Liquid fuels, hydrogen and chemicals from lignin:
474 A critical review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 2013;21:506–23.
475 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.12.022.

476 [10] Liu C, Wu S, Zhang H, Xiao R. Catalytic oxidation of lignin to valuable biomass-based platform
477 chemicals: A review. Fuel Processing Technology 2019;191:181–201.
478 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2019.04.007.

[11] Zhou M, Sharma BK, Li J, Zhao J, Xu J, Jiang J. Catalytic valorization of lignin to liquid fuels over
solid acid catalyst assisted by microwave heating. Fuel 2019;239:239–44.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2018.10.144.

482 [12] Kumar AK, Sharma S. Recent updates on different methods of pretreatment of lignocellulosic
483 feedstocks: a review. Bioresour Bioprocess 2017;4:7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40643-017-0137-9.

[13] Zhang Z, Song J, Han B. Catalytic Transformation of Lignocellulose into Chemicals and Fuel
Products in Ionic Liquids. Chem Rev 2017;117:6834–80.
https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00457.

487 [14] Loow Y-L, Wu TY, Md. Jahim J, Mohammad AW, Teoh WH. Typical conversion of lignocellulosic
488 biomass into reducing sugars using dilute acid hydrolysis and alkaline pretreatment. Cellulose
489 2016;23:1491–520. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-016-0936-8.

490 [15] Luo Y, Li Z, Li X, Liu X, Fan J, Clark JH, et al. The production of furfural directly from hemicellulose
491 in lignocellulosic biomass: A review. Catalysis Today 2019;319:14–24.
492 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2018.06.042.

[16] Rajesh Banu J, Preethi, Kavitha S, Tyagi VK, Gunasekaran M, Karthikeyan OP, et al.
Lignocellulosic biomass based biorefinery: A successful platform towards circular bioeconomy. Fuel
2021;302:121086. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2021.121086.

496 [17] Reshmy R, Paulose TAP, Philip E, Thomas D, Madhavan A, Sirohi R, et al. Updates on high value
497 products from cellulosic biorefinery. Fuel 2022;308:122056.
498 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2021.122056.

[18] Cherubini F, Stromman AH. Production of Biofuels and Biochemicals from Lignocellulosic
Biomass: Estimation of Maximum Theoretical Yields and Efficiencies Using Matrix Algebra. Energy Fuels
2010;24:2657–66. https://doi.org/10.1021/ef901379s.

502 [19] Isikgor FH, Becer CR. Lignocellulosic biomass: a sustainable platform for the production of bio503 based chemicals and polymers. Polymer Chemistry 2015;6:4497–559.
504 https://doi.org/10.1039/C5PY00263J.

505 [20] Badgujar KC, Badgujar VC, Bhanage BM. A review on catalytic synthesis of energy rich fuel 506 additive levulinate compounds from biomass derived levulinic acid. Fuel Processing Technology 507 2020;197:106213. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUPROC.2019.106213.

508 [21] Kothe V, Melfi DT, dos Santos KC, Corazza ML, Ramos LP. Thermodynamic analysis, 509 experimental and kinetic modeling of levulinic acid esterification with ethanol at supercritical 510 conditions. Fuel 2020;260:116376. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2019.116376.

511 [22] Vilanculo CB, de Andrade Leles LC, da Silva MJ. H4SiW12O40-Catalyzed Levulinic Acid 512 Esterification at Room Temperature for Production of Fuel Bioadditives. Waste and Biomass 513 Valorization 2020;11:1895–904. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-018-00549-x.

514 [23] Badia JH, Ramírez E, Soto R, Bringué R, Tejero J, Cunill F. Optimization and green metrics 515 analysis of the liquid-phase synthesis of sec-butyl levulinate by esterification of levulinic acid with 1-516 butene over ion-exchange resins. Fuel Processing Technology 2021;220:106893. 517 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2021.106893.

518 [24] Liu C, Lu X, Yu Z, Xiong J, Bai H, Zhang R. Production of Levulinic Acid from Cellulose and
519 Cellulosic Biomass in Different Catalytic Systems. Catalysts 2020;10:1006.
520 https://doi.org/10.3390/catal10091006.

521 [25] Signoretto M, Taghavi S, Ghedini E, Menegazzo F. Catalytic Production of Levulinic Acid (LA)
 522 from Actual Biomass. Molecules 2019;24:2760. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24152760.

523 [26] Al Shaal MG. Transformation of Levulinic Acid and Intermediates Thereof into Potential Biofuel
 524 Compounds over Heterogeneous Catalysts. RWTH Aachen University, 2016.

525 [27] Alonso DM, Wettstein SG, Dumesic JA. Gamma-valerolactone, a sustainable platform molecule 526 derived from lignocellulosic biomass. Green Chem 2013;15:584. https://doi.org/10.1039/c3gc37065h. 527 van Zandvoort I, Wang Y, Rasrendra CB, van Eck ERH, Bruijnincx PCA, Heeres HJ, et al. [28] 528 Formation, Molecular Structure, and Morphology of Humins in Biomass Conversion: Influence of 529 Feedstock and Processing Conditions. ChemSusChem 2013;6:1745-58. 530 https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201300332.

Liu R, Chen J, Huang X, Chen L, Ma L, Li X. Conversion of fructose into 5-hydroxymethylfurfural
and alkyl levulinates catalyzed by sulfonic acid-functionalized carbon materials. Green Chem
2013;15:2895. https://doi.org/10.1039/c3gc41139g.

[30] Yu X, Peng L, Dai J, Li H, Tao C, Yang F, et al. Ethylene glycol co-solvent enhances alkyl levulinate
production from concentrated feeds of sugars in monohydric alcohols. Fuel 2021;304:121471.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2021.121471.

[31] Chang C, Xu G, Zhu W, Bai J, Fang S. One-pot production of a liquid biofuel candidate—Ethyl
levulinate from glucose and furfural residues using a combination of extremely low sulfuric acid and
zeolite USY. Fuel 2015;140:365–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2014.09.102.

540 [32] Peng L, Lin L, Zhang J, Shi J, Liu S. Solid acid catalyzed glucose conversion to ethyl levulinate.
541 Applied Catalysis A: General 2011;397:259–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2011.03.008.

542 [33] An R, Xu G, Chang C, Bai J, Fang S. Efficient one-pot synthesis of n-butyl levulinate from 543 carbohydrates catalyzed by Fe2(SO4)3. Journal of Energy Chemistry 2017;26:556–63. 544 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JECHEM.2016.11.015.

[34] Peng L, Gao X, Chen K. Catalytic upgrading of renewable furfuryl alcohol to alkyl levulinates
using AlCl3 as a facile, efficient, and reusable catalyst. Fuel 2015;160:123–31.
https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUEL.2015.07.086.

[35] Peixoto AF, Ramos R, Moreira MM, Soares OSGP, Ribeiro LS, Pereira MFR, et al. Production of
ethyl levulinate fuel bioadditive from 5-hydroxymethylfurfural over sulfonic acid functionalized
biochar catalysts. Fuel 2021;303:121227. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUEL.2021.121227.

551 [36] Windom BC, Lovestead TM, Mascal M, Nikitin EB, Bruno TJ. Advanced Distillation Curve 552 Analysis on Ethyl Levulinate as a Diesel Fuel Oxygenate and a Hybrid Biodiesel Fuel. Energy and Fuels 553 2011;25:1878–90. https://doi.org/10.1021/EF200239X.

Joshi H, Moser BR, Toler J, Smith WF, Walker T. Ethyl levulinate: A potential bio-based diluent
for biodiesel which improves cold flow properties. Biomass and Bioenergy 2011;35:3262–6.
https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIOMBIOE.2011.04.020.

557 [38] Tian M, McCormick RL, Luecke J, de Jong E, van der Waal JC, van Klink GPM, et al. Anti-knock 558 quality of sugar derived levulinic esters and cyclic ethers. Fuel 2017;202:414–25. 559 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUEL.2017.04.027.

560 [39] Wang Z, Lei T, Lin L, Yang M, Li Z, Xin X, et al. Comparison of the Physical and Chemical 561 Properties, Performance, and Emissions of Ethyl Levulinate–Biodiesel–Diesel and *n* -Butanol– 562 Biodiesel–Diesel Blends. Energy Fuels 2017;31:5055–62. 563 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.6b02851.

564 [40] Christensen E, Williams A, Paul S, Burton S, McCormick RL. Properties and Performance of 565 Levulinate Esters as Diesel Blend Components. Energy and Fuels 2011;25:5422–8. 566 https://doi.org/10.1021/EF201229J.

567 [41] Frigo S, Pasini G, Caposciutti G, Antonelli M, Galletti AMR, Gori S, et al. Utilisation of advanced
568 biofuel in Cl internal combustion engine. Fuel 2021;297:120742.
569 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUEL.2021.120742.

570 [42] Di Menno Di Bucchianico D, Wang Y, Buvat J-C, Pan Y, Casson Moreno VC, Leveneur S. 571 Production of levulinic acid and alkyl levulinates: A process insight. Green Chem 2021. 572 https://doi.org/10.1039/D1GC02457D.

[43] Ramírez E, Bringué R, Fité C, Iborra M, Tejero J, Cunill F. Assessment of ion exchange resins as
catalysts for the direct transformation of fructose into butyl levulinate. Applied Catalysis A: General
2021;612:117988. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APCATA.2021.117988.

576 [44] Sun Y, Sun K, Zhang L, Zhang S, Liu Q, Wang Y, et al. Impacts of Solvents on the Stability of the
577 Biomass-Derived Sugars and Furans. Energy Fuels 2020;34:3250–61.
578 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.9b03921.

579 [45] Bagno A, Scorrano G. Selectivity in Proton Transfer, Hydrogen Bonding, and Solvation. Acc
580 Chem Res 2000;33:609–16. https://doi.org/10.1021/ar990149j.

[46] Guo H, Duereh A, Su Y, Hensen EJM, Qi X, Smith RL. Mechanistic role of protonated polar
additives in ethanol for selective transformation of biomass-related compounds. Applied Catalysis B:
Environmental 2020;264:118509. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.118509.

584 [47] Guo H, Qi X, Hiraga Y, Aida TM, Smith RL. Efficient conversion of fructose into 5-585 ethoxymethylfurfural with hydrogen sulfate ionic liquids as co-solvent and catalyst. Chemical 586 Engineering Journal 2017;314:508–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.12.008.

[48] Karnjanakom S, Maneechakr P, Samart C, Guan G. A facile way for sugar transformation
catalyzed by carbon-based Lewis-Brønsted solid acid. Molecular Catalysis 2019;479:110632.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcat.2019.110632.

[49] Raspolli Galletti AM, Antonetti C, Fulignati S, Licursi D. Direct Alcoholysis of Carbohydrate
Precursors and Real Cellulosic Biomasses to Alkyl Levulinates: A Critical Review. Catalysts
2020;10:1221. https://doi.org/10.3390/catal10101221.

593 [50] Iborra M, Tejero J, Fité C, Ramírez E, Cunill F. Liquid-phase synthesis of butyl levulinate with 594 simultaneous water removal catalyzed by acid ion exchange resins. Journal of Industrial and 595 Engineering Chemistry 2019;78:222–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2019.06.011.

596 [51] Covinich LG, Clauser NM, Felissia FE, Vallejos ME, Area MC. The challenge of converting 597 biomass polysaccharides into levulinic acid through heterogeneous catalytic processes. Biofuels, 598 Bioprod Bioref 2020;14:417–45. https://doi.org/10.1002/bbb.2062.

[52] Mellmer MA, Sener C, Gallo JMR, Luterbacher JS, Alonso DM, Dumesic JA. Solvent Effects in
Acid-Catalyzed Biomass Conversion Reactions. Angew Chem Int Ed 2014;53:11872–5.
https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201408359.

Wang Y, Cipolletta M, Vernières-Hassimi L, Casson-Moreno V, Leveneur S. Application of the
concept of Linear Free Energy Relationships to the hydrogenation of levulinic acid and its
corresponding esters. Chemical Engineering Journal 2019;374:822–31.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.05.218.

606 [54] Capecci S, Wang Y, Delgado J, Casson Moreno V, Mignot M, Grénman H, et al. Bayesian
607 Statistics to Elucidate the Kinetics of γ-Valerolactone from n-Butyl Levulinate Hydrogenation over
608 Ru/C. Ind Eng Chem Res 2021;31:11725–36. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.1c02107.

Kerkel F, Markiewicz M, Stolte S, Müller E, Kunz W. The green platform molecule gammavalerolactone – ecotoxicity, biodegradability, solvent properties, and potential applications. Green
Chem 2021;23:2962–76. https://doi.org/10.1039/D0GC04353B.

612 [56] Anastas PT, Warner JC. Green Chemistry: Theory and Practice. Oxford University Press: New613 York; 1998.

614 [57] Srinivas G, Unny VKP, Mukkanti K, Choudary BM. A convenient photosynthesis of uniformly
615 [14C]-labelled d-glucose, d-fructose and sucrose, and chemical synthesis of methyl-α-d616 glucopyranoside ([U-14C]-glucose). Applied Radiation and Isotopes 2013;72:145–51.
617 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apradiso.2012.10.016.

[58] Russo V, Tesser R, Rossano C, Cogliano T, Vitiello R, Leveneur S, et al. Kinetic study of Amberlite
IR120 catalyzed acid esterification of levulinic acid with ethanol: From batch to continuous operation.
Chemical Engineering Journal 2020;401:126126. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2020.126126.

[59] Altıokka MR, Çıtak A. Kinetics study of esterification of acetic acid with isobutanol in the
presence of amberlite catalyst. Applied Catalysis A: General 2003;239:141–8.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-860X(02)00381-2.

[60] Jogunola O, Salmi T, Eränen K, Mikkola J-P. Qualitative treatment of catalytic hydrolysis of alkyl
formates. Applied Catalysis A: General 2010;384:36–44.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2010.06.002.

[61] Mukesh C, Nikjoo D, Mikkola J-P. Production of C-14 Levulinate Ester from Glucose
Fermentation Liquors Catalyzed by Acidic Ionic Liquids in a Solvent-Free Self-Biphasic System. ACS
Omega 2020;5:4828–35. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.9b03517.

[62] Leveneur S, Murzin DYu, Salmi T, Mikkola J-P, Kumar N, Eränen K, et al. Synthesis of
peroxypropionic acid from propionic acid and hydrogen peroxide over heterogeneous catalysts.
Chemical Engineering Journal 2009;147:323–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2008.11.045.

[63] Bodamer GW, Kunin R. Behavior of Ion Exchange Resins in Solvents Other Than Water Swelling and Exchange Characteristics. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry 2002;45:2577–80.
https://doi.org/10.1021/IE50527A057.

[64] Bodamer GW, Kunin R. Behavior of Ion Exchange Resins in Solvents Other Than Water 637 Swelling and Exchange Characteristics. Ind Eng Chem 1953;45:2577–80.
638 https://doi.org/10.1021/ie50527a057.

639 [65] Königsberger E. Guidelines for the Measurement of Solid–Liquid Solubility. Journal of Chemical
640 & Engineering Data n.d.;64:381–5. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jced.8b01263.

[66] Engasser J-M, Chamouleau F, Chebil L, Ghoul M. Kinetic modeling of glucose and fructose
dissolution in 2-methyl 2-butanol. Biochemical Engineering Journal 2008;42:159–65.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2008.06.013.

Flannelly T, Dooley S, Leahy JJ. Reaction Pathway Analysis of Ethyl Levulinate and 5Ethoxymethylfurfural from D-Fructose Acid Hydrolysis in Ethanol. Energy Fuels 2015;29:7554–65.
https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.5b01481.

647 [68] Démolis A, Essayem N, Rataboul F. Synthesis and Applications of Alkyl Levulinates. ACS
648 Sustainable Chem Eng 2014;2:1338–52. https://doi.org/10.1021/sc500082n.

[69] Ahmad E, Alam MdI, Pant KK, Haider MA. Catalytic and mechanistic insights into the production
of ethyl levulinate from biorenewable feedstocks. Green Chem 2016;18:4804–23.
https://doi.org/10.1039/C6GC01523A.

du Toit E, Nicol W. The rate inhibiting effect of water as a product on reactions catalysed by
cation exchange resins: formation of mesityl oxide from acetone as case study. Applied Catalysis A:
General 2004;277:219–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2004.09.015.

Pérez MA, Bringué R, Iborra M, Tejero J, Cunill F. Ion exchange resins as catalysts for the liquidphase dehydration of 1-butanol to di-n-butyl ether. Applied Catalysis A: General 2014;482:38–48.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2014.05.017.

Kuo CH, Poyraz AS, Jin L, Meng Y, Pahalagedara L, Chen SY, et al. Heterogeneous acidic TiO2
nanoparticles for efficient conversion of biomass derived carbohydrates. Green Chem 2014:785–91.
https://doi.org/10.1039/C3GC40909K.

[73] Balakrishnan M, Sacia ER, Bell AT. Etherification and reductive etherification of 5(hydroxymethyl)furfural: 5-(alkoxymethyl)furfurals and 2,5-bis(alkoxymethyl)furans as potential biodiesel candidates. Green Chem 2012;14:1626. https://doi.org/10.1039/c2gc35102a.

664