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Abstract: This paper presents a new strategy of embedded energy management between battery and supercapacitors (SC) for hybrid electric vehicles (HEV) applications. This proposal is due to the present trend in the field, knowing that the major drawback of the HEV is the autonomy problem. Thus, using supercapacitors and battery with a good energy management improves the HEV performances. The main contribution of this paper is focused on DC-bus voltage and currents control strategies based on polynomial controller. These strategies are implemented in PIC18F4431 microcontroller for DC/DC converters control. Due to reasons of cost and available components (no optimized), such as the battery and power semiconductors (IGBT), the experimental tests are carried out in reduced scale (2.7 kW). Through some simulations and experimental results obtained in reduced scale, the authors present an improved energy management strategy for HEV.
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1. Introduction

Today, the hybrid electric vehicles (HEV) including the supercapacitors and the battery or fuel-cell [1] are considered as a solution to embedded energy and environmental problems. These vehicles present the advantages of thermal vehicles (autonomy and flexibility in use) and electric ones (no pollution), but it is not the combination of these ones, because the components must be optimized. Due to energetic autonomy problem, the traction batteries used until now must be improved for future vehicles applications; and they must be dimensioned to satisfy the requested energy by the vehicle during transient states. In this context, an association of the batteries and the supercapacitors with a good energy management presents a promising solution in short time. This idea is due to supercapacitors dynamics behavior, their lifetime about 10 years and their high power density compared to batteries ones [2-5].

This paper proposes a new approach of energy management, related to currents and DC-bus voltage control, based on polynomial controllers. The polynomial control method gives a robust algorithm, with good performances in the following case of process: with a pure delay, whose dynamic characteristics change during operation, and where the reference should not be exceeded. To evaluate this approach, the hybrid system presented in Fig. 1 is used. This topology includes a battery’s module, the supercapacitors (SC), an electric load (electric machine with inverter), and DC/DC converters. To validate the proposed method, some simulations and experimental tests are carried out in reduced scale. The control algorithms are implemented in a PIC18F4431 microcontroller for buck-boost converter control in SC side, and boost converter control
in battery’s side. The experimental data acquisition system is monitored using Labview software with PXI station.

2. Supercapacitors Characterization

To use supercapacitors as energy storage device in electric hybrid vehicles, it is necessary to connect several cells in series and/or in parallel to obtain a high voltage level. The proposed model of the SC, Fig. 2, includes an equivalent resistor $R$, and an equivalent capacitor including two components. The first component $\beta V(t)$ has a linearly behavior with SC module voltage, and the second component $C_0$ is a constant capacitor [6]. This model describes the SC behavior during the charge and discharge operations.

To estimate the supercapacitors (SC) parameters, a module, including 20 cells of 3000 F-2.7 V in series with a maximum voltage of 54 V, is used. The parameters estimation method is based on an analysis of the experimental discharge curves at constant current. The experimental voltage measured during the SC module discharge at 100 A is presented in Fig. 3. The early discharge of the module is characterized by a voltage drop $\Delta V_0$ in equivalent resistor $R$. This last can be estimated as presented in Eq. (1), where $R_{sc}$ and $R_{con}$ are respectively the supercapacitors cells resistor and electric wiring resistor for SC module. The assumed linear behavior of the differential capacitor $C_0+\beta V(t)$ enables to estimate $k_0$ coefficient, from experimental curve as plotted in Fig. 3.

$$R = R_{sc} + R_{con} = \frac{\Delta V_0}{I_w} , \Delta V_0 = V_0 - V_1$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The resulting equation from this approximation is presented in Eq. (2).

$$k_0 \approx \frac{V_f - V_1}{t_f - t_1} = \frac{0.55 - 53.11}{54 - 7.14} \approx 1.123 \text{ V/s} \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

The specific capacitor $\beta$, and constant component $C_0$, resulting from experimental curves analysis can be estimated as presented in Eq. (3). In this equation, $\Delta t = t_f - t_1$ presents the SC module discharge time in (s). In this equation, $V_0$ and $\Delta V t = V_1 - V_f$ present respectively, the SC module initial voltage and module’s voltage variation between $V_1$ and final voltage $V_f$.

$$\begin{align*}
\beta &= \left( \frac{1}{k_0} - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta V t} \right) \frac{I_w}{2 \cdot V_0 - 0.5 \cdot \Delta V t} \\
C_0 &= \left( \frac{1}{k_0} - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta V t} \right) \left( 2 \cdot V_1 - 0.5 \cdot \Delta V t \right) \cdot I_w
\end{align*} \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

To validate the model, the supercapacitors module is charged until maximal voltage, and discharged with pulsed current as plotted in Fig. 4. The simulation and experimental curves obtained from supercapacitors module characterization are compared in Fig. 5. These results show that the proposed model has a good behavior with pulsed current.
In other words, the simulations and experimental results are very close. The SC module parameters (R, C₀ and β) obtained from experimental results of 20 cells of BCAP3000P type are presented in Table 1. However, some parameters such as R and C₀ have needed some adjustments after several cycles of the charge-discharge, i.e., after some years of use.

### 3. DC/DC Converters and HEV Modeling

The SC and battery’s modules are connected on DC-bus through two buck-boost converters, which ensure the energy exchange between these storage devices as presented in Fig. 6. The control strategies [7-10] of these converters must be developed as function of the requested energy by the hybrid electric vehicles.

#### 3.1 Buck-Boost Converters Modeling

To establish an analytical model of the buck-boost converters, it is necessary to analyze the two operations modes (buck and boost). During boost operation mode, the SC module provides the traction energy to HEV. In the buck mode, K₂ is ON and K₁ pass to OFF; the SC module stores energy from DC-bus (braking energy). The analytical model of the buck-boost converter in SC side resulting from the sequences analyzes is given in Eq. (4), where, k and u₂ define the sign of the SC current, and the equivalent value of the duty cycle. In battery side, only the boost converter mode is considered in this paper. In this case, the converter average model obtained from the sequences analyzes is presented in Eq. (5),

\[
\begin{align*}
V_{L_1} &= L_1 \cdot \frac{d}{dt}(I_{sc}) = k \cdot (V_{sc} - u_2 \cdot V_{DC-bus}) \\
I_{load} &= I_{bass} + k \cdot I_{out} \\
V_{L_2} &= L_2 \cdot \frac{d}{dt}(I_{bat}) = \delta \cdot (V_{bat} - u \cdot V_{DC-bus}) \\
I_c &= (C_1 + C_2) \cdot \frac{d}{dt}(V_{DC-bus}) \approx u \cdot I_{bat} - I_{bass} \\
u &= 1 - \alpha
\end{align*}
\]

where δ and u present also the sign of the battery’s current I_{bat} and the equivalent value of the duty cycle. These average models have a nonlinear behavior due to crosses between the control variables (u₂, u), and the state variables (I_{sc}, I_{bat}, V_{DC-bus}). In more of this...
constraint, the following variables: \( V_{\text{DC-bus}} \), \( V_{\text{sc}} \), \( I_{\text{Load}} \) and \( V_{\text{bat}} \) are likely to disturb the control; they must be measured and used in control law estimation to ensure a good dynamics of control [11].

3.2 Electric Vehicle Dynamic Modeling

The dynamic model of HEV presents a total effort, which must be compensated by the vehicle’s motor to move forward. This global effort presented in Eq. (6) includes four components studied in Refs. [12, 13].

\[
F_{\text{te}} = F_{\text{rr}} + F_{\text{hc}} + F_{\text{aero}} + F_{\text{acc}} \tag{6}
\]

In this equation, \( F_{\text{rr}} \) is the rolling resistance force, \( F_{\text{hc}} \) is the hill climbing force, \( F_{\text{aero}} \) is the aerodynamic force, and \( F_{\text{acc}} \) is the acceleration force.

This Eq. (6) gives an electric power transmitted to wheels. The electric load current profile, obtained from power balances between inverter’s input and output, is expressed in Eq. (7). In this paper, the road is considered flat, the mass of the vehicle is 800 kg, and inverter’s efficiency \( \eta \) is fixed at 75%.

\[
I_{\text{load}} = \frac{V}{\eta} \cdot F_{\text{te}} \tag{7}
\]

4. Converters Control Strategy

The converters control strategy is based on the polynomial controllers implemented in Matlab for energy management between DC-bus and hybrid sources (battery and supercapacitors). This strategy includes two steps: the first is based on supercapacitors current control, and the second is focused on DC-bus voltage control with the battery’s current control through an inner loop.

4.1 Supercapacitors Current Control Strategy

To control the SC current, the bidirectional converter control laws obtained from buck-boost converter modeling are used. These control laws are presented in Eq. (8) for buck mode, and in Eq. (9) for boost mode.

\[
a = a_{\text{buck}} = \frac{V_{\text{sc}} + V_{\text{bat}}}{V_{\text{DC-bus}}} , \quad k = 1
\tag{8}
\]

\[
a = a_{\text{boost}} = 1 - \frac{V_{\text{sc}}}{V_{\text{DC-bus}}} , \quad k = 1
\tag{9}
\]

The SC reference current \( I_{\text{sc ref}} \) established from electric power balances between buck-boost converters input and output is showed in Eq. (10). In this equation, \( I_{\text{load}} \) presents the load current, and \( I_{\text{bat ref}} \) is the battery’s reference current obtained from DC-bus voltage control. This last will be presented in next paragraph.

\[
I_{\text{sc ref}} \approx \frac{V_{\text{DC-bus}}}{V_{\text{sc}}} \cdot I_{\text{load}} - \frac{V_{\text{bat}}}{V_{\text{sc}}} \cdot I_{\text{bat ref}} \tag{10}
\]

The proposed control strategy of the SC current is illustrated in Fig. 7, where an anti-windup loop is added in \( V_{\text{L1}} \) voltage estimation loop to improve the supercapacitors current control performances.

4.2 DC-Bus Voltage Control Strategy

The boost converter control law established from Eq. (5) for the DC-bus voltage managing is given in Eq. (11). In this case, the cascaded control loops are necessary: an inner loop for battery’s current control and outside loop for DC-bus voltage ones.

\[
a' = 1 - \frac{V_{\text{bat}}}{V_{\text{DC-bus}}} \cdot I_{\text{bat ref}} - \delta = 1 \tag{11}
\]

The battery’s reference current \( I_{\text{bat ref}} \) estimated from electric powers balances between boost converter input and output is presented in Eq. (12), where \( I_{\text{bat}} \) is the boost converter output current, and \( I_{c} \) is the DC-bus capacitor’s current.

\[
I_{\text{bat ref}} \approx \frac{V_{\text{DC-bus}}}{V_{\text{bat}}} (I_{\text{bat}} + I_{c}) \tag{12}
\]

The DC-bus voltage control strategy is illustrated in Fig. 8 for the outer loop, and the inner loop is presented in Fig.9. To improve the voltage control performances, an anti-windup loop is added in DC-bus voltage control loop as expressed in Eq. (13), where \( I_{\text{bat ref}} \) is the battery’s reference current.

\[
\Delta I_{c} = \frac{\Delta I_{\text{bat ref}} \cdot V_{\text{bat}}}{V_{\text{DC-bus}}} - I_{\text{bat}} \tag{13}
\]

4.3 Polynomials Controllers Coefficients Estimation

To obtain a minimal static error with disturbance rejection, \( R(z) \) and \( S(z) \) polynomials are selected as
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Fig. 7  Supercapacitors current control loop for charge and discharge operations.

Fig. 8  DC-bus voltage control loop (outer loop).

Fig. 9  Battery’s current control loop (inner loop).
expressed in Eq. (14) [14]. The used method is described in previous papers [15], where a similar polynomial control strategy was employed for current control in the parallel topology of the DC/DC converter. In this paper, this technique will be applied to the buck-boost and boost converters for currents and DC-bus voltage control respectively. In Eq. (14), \( xx \) index correspond to \( i \) for currents control loops, and \( v \) for DC-bus voltage control ones.

\[
\begin{align*}
S(z) &= 1 - z^{-1} \\
R(z) &= r_{aa} + r_{xx} \cdot z^{-1} \\
T(z) &= R(z)
\end{align*}
\tag{14}
\]

The coefficients of the polynomials obtained from SC and battery’s currents closed loops analysis is presented in Eq. (15). In this equation, \( T_x \) is the sampling period (100 \( \mu \)s); \( \omega_i \) is the currents control band-width (selected maximum frequency of the band-width is lower than 1/10 of the IGBT control frequency); \( L_{yy} \) presents the SC and battery’s currents smoothing inductors, where \( yy = I \) for \( I_{\text{sc}} \) and \( yy = 2 \) for battery’s current \( I_{\text{bat}} \).

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_i &= 2 \cdot (1 - \exp(-\omega_i \cdot T_x)) \cdot \frac{L_i}{T_x} \cdot \omega_i \leq \frac{2 \cdot \pi \cdot f_d}{10} \\
\omega_v &= (\exp(-2 \cdot \omega_v \cdot T_x) -1) \cdot \frac{L_v}{T_v}
\end{align*}
\tag{15}
\]

The control parameters obtained from DC-bus voltage closed loop is given in Eq. (16), where \( C_T \) is the DC-bus voltage smoothing capacitor, and \( \omega_i \) is the voltage control band-width.

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_i &= 2 \cdot (1 - \exp(-\omega_i \cdot T_x)) \cdot \frac{C_T}{T_x} \cdot \omega_i \leq 100 \cdot \omega_i \\
\omega_v &= (\exp(-2 \cdot \omega_v \cdot T_x) -1) \cdot \frac{C_T}{T_v}
\end{align*}
\tag{16}
\]

The used parameters in current and voltage control loops are respectively presented in Eq. (17).

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_i &= 2 \cdot (1 - \exp(-\omega_i \cdot T_x)) \cdot \frac{C_T}{T_x} \\
\omega_v &= (\exp(-2 \cdot \omega_v \cdot T_x) -1) \cdot \frac{C_T}{T_v}
\end{align*}
\tag{17}
\]

These coefficients are estimated from following parameters:

- DC-bus capacitors: \( C_1 = 1500 \mu F, C_2 = 6800 \mu F \);
- \( I_{\text{sc}} \) and \( I_{\text{bat}} \) smoothing inductors: \( L_1 = 2 \) \( L_2 = 100 \) \( \mu H \);
- Currents loops band-width: \( \omega_i = 1740 \text{ rad/s} \);
- DC-bus voltage loop band-width: \( \omega_v = 174000 \text{ rad/s} \);
- Converters control frequency: \( f_d = 2770 \text{ Hz} \).

5. HEV Behavior Simulation Results

For these simulations, DC-bus voltage reference is fixed at constant value so that the SC module provides HEV energy request during the transient states. The load current \( I_{\text{Load}} \) profile is generated from HEV speed as expressed in Eq. (7). For hybrid system [16, 17] simulations, the HEV speed profile is plotted in Fig. 10. Fig. 11 shows the supercapacitors module voltage; this curve shows that the proposed control strategy is satisfactory for HEV behavior simulations, and the measured voltage \( V_{\text{DC-bus}} \) is very close to reference one \( (V_{\text{DC-bus}})^\text{ref} \). These simulations results show that DC-bus voltage is related to battery’s voltage as illustrated in Fig. 13, but it has less influence compared to supercapacitors ones. Load current profile obtained from Eq. (7) is plotted in Fig. 14. This current is shared between supercapacitors and battery through two DC/DC converters. Fig. 15 presents the battery’s contribution on DC-bus. This current is affected by the DC-bus voltage variation, due to dependence between battery’s voltage and that of the DC-bus. Fig. 16 presents the supercapacitors contribution on DC-bus. The zoomed section of this figure enables to conclude that the proposed control is satisfactory and the measured current \( I_{\text{Load}} \) is very close to reference current \( I_{\text{Load-ref}} \). This dynamic behavior of the SC (charge and discharge) as function of the load current is an interesting solution to improve battery lives. In other words, this curve shows the advantages of SC in load’s power smoothing.
From Figs. 15 and 16, it is possible to conclude that the all fluctuations of the load current are mitigated by the SC, and this solution enables to reduce the cycle number (charge and discharge) of the battery to improve their live time.

These results show that the main contribution of the storage devices is ensured by the supercapacitors module [4, 18]. The zoomed section of the SC contribution on DC-bus shows that the proposed control strategy for SC current management is satisfactory for hybrid system behavior simulation. These simulations results enable to conclude the polynomial controller is very performing for HEV behavior simulation. This strategy will be implemented in PIC18F4431 microcontroller, for experimental test bench management in reduced scale.
6. Design and Experimental Results

6.1 Experimental Setup

Due to cost and safety reasons, an experimental test bench is carried out in reduce scale (2.7 kW), to validate the proposed control method outlined above. The designed experimental test bench includes a module of supercapacitors (20 cells in series with a maximum voltage of 54 V), a battery module with rated voltage of 24 V, a power electronic load, DC/DC converters, which ensure the energy management between the battery and supercapacitors. The described control of the DC/DC converters integrating the SC voltage limitation algorithm is implemented in PIC18F443 microcontroller. For these experimental tests, the SC module voltage is limited at 18 V (for low level) and 28 V (for high level). Due to available electronic load performances (no bidirectional), it is no possible to do the HEV current profile as presented in Fig. 14.

6.2 Experimental Results

For experimental tests, the DC-bus voltage reference is fixed at 50 V and $I_{\text{out}}$ currents is considered negative during the SC module charge, and positive during the discharge. The charging mode corresponds to supercapacitors energy storage ($I_{\text{out}} < 0$), and that of the discharge corresponds to traction mode (SC energy supply to DC-bus, $I_{\text{out}} > 0$).

Fig. 17 presents DC-bus voltage; this curve enables to conclude that the implemented algorithm is satisfactory for the DC-bus voltage monitoring. However, this last is related to battery’s voltage but independent to supercapacitors module voltage as plotted in Figs. 18a and 18b.

Fig. 19 presents the power electronics load (HEV emulator) current, for $I_{\text{load}} = 0$, i.e. from 0 to 111 seconds, the SC module stores energy from the battery until the high level limit (28 V). For SC discharge mode, i.e. between 111 and 178 seconds, $I_{\text{load}}$ is fixed at 54 A. In this condition, the SC module provide hybrid vehicle energy request through the buck-boost converters. Figs. 20a and 20b shows the SC and battery contributions on DC-bus through the boost converter for the battery, and buck-boost converter for the supercapacitors.
These experimental results enable to conclude that the proposed control for power electronics load current sharing between supercapacitors and battery is satisfactory for hybrid electric vehicles behavior simulations.

7. Conclusions

This paper presents the supercapacitors modeling based on polynomial capacitor which reflects the SC cells energy behavior during traction, and energy recovery (charge and discharge) modes.

The polynomial control method is applied to DC/DC converters to ensure the energy management between supercapacitors and battery. The resulting algorithms are interesting and effortless to implement in a microcontroller or DSP.

The supercapacitors-battery energy exchange through DC/DC converters is simulated, and experimented. Simulation, and experimental results based on polynomial controller’s techniques are presented and analyzed. The performances in terms of energy management are illustrated, and the supercapacitors compensate the load power fluctuations during the transient states.
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